arXiv:1608.03795v1 [math.AT] 12 Aug 2016

THE CHROMATIC SPLITTING CONJECTURE FOR NOETHERIAN COMMUTATIVE RING SPECTRA

TOBIAS BARTHEL, DREW HEARD, AND GABRIEL VALENZUELA

Abstract. We formulate a version of Hopkins' chromatic splitting conjecture for an arbitrary structured ring spectrum R, and prove it whenever π_*R is Noetherian. Our approach relies on a novel decomposition of the local cohomology functors constructed previously by Benson, Iyengar, and Krause as well as a generalization of Brown–Comenetz duality. As an application, these results provide a new local-to-global principle in the modular representation theory of finite groups.

1. Introduction

In his seminal talk [Hop87], Hopkins presents the global structure of the stable homotopy category in parallel to the structure of the derived category $\mathcal{D}_R$ of a Noetherian commutative ring R. In both cases, the thick subcategories of compact objects are classified in terms of a support theory, which in turn is constructed out of a spectrum of certain prime objects. In the algebraic case, Neeman [Nee92] shows that this spectrum can be taken to be the Zariski spectrum $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ of prime ideals in $\mathfrak{p}$, while the analogous theory in homotopy theory has been worked out by Devinatz, Hopkins, and Smith [DHS88, HS98].

Based on earlier work of Hovey, Palmieri, and Strickland [HPS97], Benson, Iyengar, and Krause [BIK08, BIK11a] subsequently developed a framework for studying both the global and local structure of general triangulated categories in terms of local cohomology and local homology functors. This provides a conceptual approach to formulating and proving many of the fundamental results in stable homotopy theory in various other contexts, as for example modular representation theory.

An important open question about the stable homotopy category is how its indecomposable pieces assemble locally; this is the content of Hopkins' chromatic splitting conjecture. If correct, it would provide a fundamental local-to-global principle in stable homotopy theory. Given the general framework mentioned above, we may thus formulate the analogous problem in an arbitrary triangulated category equipped with a support theory.

The goal of this paper is to study this question for the category Mod_R of module spectra over a structured ring spectrum R. To this end, we need to work in the context of the companion paper [BHV16], which in turn is based on [BIK08] and [BHV15]. If $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathrm{Spec}(\pi_*R)$ is a specialization closed subset, there is a quadruple of functors $(\Gamma_\mathcal{V}, L_\mathcal{V}, \Delta_\mathcal{V}, \Lambda_\mathcal{V})$ on Mod_R which captures the part of Mod_R supported on $\mathcal{V}$. In particular, for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$, there is a distinguished localization functor $L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}$ which realizes algebraic $\mathfrak{p}$-localization, i.e., $\pi_*(L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}M) \cong (\pi_*M)_\mathfrak{p}$ for any R-module M. The first main theorem of the present paper says that every local cohomology functor can be obtained from these algebraic localizations by gluing.

Theorem (Theorem 2.7)**.** *For any specialization closed subset $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathrm{Spec}(\pi_*R)$, there is a natural equivalence $L_\mathcal{V} \simeq \lim_{\mathfrak{q}\notin\mathcal{V}} L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})}$ of endofunctors on Mod_R.*

Date: August 15, 2016.

This result is somewhat surprising. For instance, in case that Mod_R is canonically stratified in the sense of [BIK11a], this gives a construction of all smashing localization functors on Mod_R purely in terms of finite limits and functors determined on $\mathrm{Mod}_{\pi_* R}$.

In analogy to the arithmetic pullback square displayed on the left below, we then construct a homotopy pullback square which describes how a compact $\mathfrak{p}$-local R-module spectrum M is assembled from its pieces $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} M$ and $L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} M$:

(1.1)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \prod_p \mathbb{Z}_p \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q} \otimes \prod_p \mathbb{Z}_p \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} M & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} M & \xrightarrow[\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(M)]{} & L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} M. \end{array}$$

Here, the gluing is controlled by the map $L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} M \to L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} M$. The chromatic splitting hypothesis for R and $\mathfrak{p}$ states, informally speaking, that this assembly process is as simple as possible without being trivial.

The main theorem of this paper verifies that this is the case for every structured ring spectrum R with Noetherian coefficients and every module M of type $\mathfrak{p}'$, a condition introduced in Definition 2.11. As such, it is the first instance of a systematic result on chromatic splitting.

Theorem (Theorem 3.9)**.** *Suppose that R is a Noetherian $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring spectrum, then the map $\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(M)$ in (1.1) is split for any adjacent pair of primes $(\mathfrak{p}' \subset \mathfrak{p})$ and any $\mathfrak{p}$-local R-module M of type $\mathfrak{p}'$.*

The proof relies on a generalization of a result due to Margolis about the nonexistence of certain phantom maps in the category of spectra. This requires a notion of Brown–Comenetz duality for Mod_R, which is of independent interest. In particular, we explain how Brown–Comenetz duality interacts with local duality in the Gorenstein case, thereby obtaining an analogue of a theorem of Hovey and Strickland for the $K(n)$-local stable homotopy category.

A guiding example is the $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring spectrum $R = C^*(BG, k)$ of cochains on the classifying space of a finite p-group G with coefficients in a field k of characteristic p. The associated module category $\mathrm{Mod}_{C^*(BG,k)}$ is equivalent to Krause's category Stable_{kG}, which is built from the stable module category of kG and its derived category via a recollement. The structure of $\mathrm{Mod}_{C^*(BG,k)}$ therefore controls a large part of the modular representation theory of G.

The main theorem of Benson, Iyengar, and Krause [BIK11b] establishes a decomposition of Stable_{kG} in terms of certain minimal localizing subcategories $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathrm{Stable}_{kG}$ parametrized by prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}\, H^*(G, k)$. Specialized to this category, the chromatic splitting thus describes how finitely generated G-representations M are built out of their local cohomology complexes $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} M$. In other words, our results can be interpreted as a local-to-global principle for kG-modules in the stable module category, see Example 3.14.

From a more abstract point of view, we find the structural similarities between the stable homotopy category Sp and algebraic categories like Stable_{kG} rather remarkable. We hope to return to this point in a future paper; for now, in order to help the reader to translate between the different contexts, we end this introduction with a short dictionary.

Chromatic homotopy theory	Modular representation theory
$I_n = (p, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}), n \geq 0$	$\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(H^*(G, k))$
L_n	$L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}$
$M_n, L_{K(n)}$	$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}, \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$
Gross–Hopkins duality	Benson–Greenlees duality.

Conventions. Throughout this paper, we will work in the setting of ∞-categories as developed in [Lur09, Lur16], and will use the local duality framework described in [BHV15, BHV16]. In particular, all constructions will implicitly be assumed to be derived. An ∞-category $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \otimes)$ is called a stable category if it is a symmetric monoidal stable ∞-category compactly generated by dualizable objects and whose monoidal product $\otimes$ commutes with colimits separately in each variable. Writing A for a unit of the stable category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes)$, we define the (Spanier–Whitehead) dual of an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ by $X^\vee = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, A)$, where $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}$ denotes the internal mapping object of $\mathcal{C}$; note that, under our assumptions on $\mathcal{C}$, $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}$ exists for formal reasons.

A full subcategory $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ of a stable category $\mathcal{C}$ is thick if it is closed under suspensions, finite colimits, and retracts. For example, the full subcategory $\mathcal{C}^\omega \subset \mathcal{C}$ of compact objects in $\mathcal{C}$ is thick. A thick subcategory is said to be localizing if it is also closed under all colimits. We denote the thick subcategory generated by a subcategory $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ by $\mathrm{Thick}(\mathcal{S})$; the smallest localizing subcategory $\mathrm{Loc}(\mathcal{S})$ of $\mathcal{C}$ containing $\mathcal{S}$ is defined analogously. Finally, a localizing subcategory $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ which does not contain any proper localizing subcategories is called minimal.

All discrete rings R in this paper are assumed to be commutative and graded, and all ring-theoretic notions are implicitly graded. In particular, an R-module M refers to a graded R-module and we write Mod_R for the abelian category of discrete graded R-modules. Prime ideals in R will be denoted by fraktur letters $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{r}$ and are always assumed to be finitely generated and homogeneous, so that $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ refers to the Zariski spectrum of homogeneous finitely generated prime ideals in R.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank John Greenlees, Henning Krause, and Hal Sadofsky for helpful discussions. Moreover, we are grateful to the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics for its hospitality, funding a week-long visit of the third-named author in June 2016.

2. Chromatic assembly

2.1. **Recollections on local cohomology and local homology.** Let R be an $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring spectrum. A subset $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathrm{Spec}(\pi_* R)$ of prime ideals of $\pi_* R$ is called specialization closed if $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ imply $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{V}$. In [BIK08], Benson, Iyengar, and Krause construct a smashing colocalization functor $\Gamma_\mathcal{V}$ corresponding to the subcategory of Mod_R on objects with support in $\mathcal{V}$. We give a brief summary of the basic theory of these functors, following the approach taken in [BHV16].

For a given finitely generated prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{V}$, we can form the corresponding Koszul object $R/\!\!/\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Mod}_R^\omega$ by iteratively coning off the elements in some generating set of $\mathfrak{p}$. While this construction depends on the chosen generators, the thick subcategory $\mathrm{Thick}(R/\!\!/\mathfrak{p})$ generated by $R/\!\!/\mathfrak{p}$ does not, justifying the notation. Let $\mathrm{Mod}_R^{\mathcal{V}-\mathrm{tors}} = \mathrm{Loc}(R/\!\!/\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{V})$ of Mod_R be the localizing subcategory generated by the Koszul objects $R/\!\!/\mathfrak{p}$ with $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{V}$. The pair $(\mathrm{Mod}_R, \mathrm{Mod}_R^{\mathcal{V}-\mathrm{tors}})$ forms a local duality context in the sense of [BHV15], and thus gives rise to four functors $(\Gamma_\mathcal{V}, L_\mathcal{V}, \Delta_\mathcal{V}, \Lambda_\mathcal{V})$, satisfying:

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose R is an $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring spectrum and $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathrm{Spec}(\pi_* R)$ is specialization closed subset of prime ideals. There exists a quadruple $(\Gamma_\mathcal{V}, L_\mathcal{V}, \Delta_\mathcal{V}, \Lambda_\mathcal{V})$ of endofunctors on Mod_R with the following properties:*

(1) The functor $\Gamma_\mathcal{V}$ is the colocalization with respect to the localizing subcategory $\mathrm{Loc}(R/\!\!/\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{V})$, and both $L_\mathcal{V}$ and $\Lambda_\mathcal{V}$ are localization functors. There are natural cofiber sequences

$$\Gamma_\mathcal{V} M \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow L_\mathcal{V} M \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Delta_\mathcal{V} M \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \Lambda_\mathcal{V} M$$

for all $M \in \mathrm{Mod}_R$.

(2) Both $\Gamma_\mathcal{V}$ and $L_\mathcal{V}$ are smashing, i.e., $\Gamma_\mathcal{V}(X) \simeq X \otimes \Gamma_\mathcal{V}(R)$ for all X and similarly for $L_\mathcal{V}$. Moreover, $L_\mathcal{V}$ preserves compact objects.

(3) *The functors* $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$ *and* $\Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}$ *induce mutually inverse equivalences between their images*

$$\mathrm{Mod}_R^{\mathcal{V}-\mathrm{tors}} = \mathrm{Im}(\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}) \underset{\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}}{\overset{\Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathrm{Im}(\Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}) = \mathrm{Mod}_R^{\mathcal{V}-\mathrm{comp}}.$$

Moreover, there are natural equivalences of functors

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}} \qquad \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}\Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

(4) *The functors* $(\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}, \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}})$ *form an adjoint pair, so that we have a natural equivalence*

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}X, Y) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(X, \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}Y)$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathrm{Mod}_R$. *Similarly,* $L_{\mathcal{V}}$ *is left adjoint to* $\Delta_{\mathcal{V}}$.

(5) *There is a homotopy pullback square of functors*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Id} & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_{\mathcal{V}} & \longrightarrow & L_{\mathcal{V}}\Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}, \end{array}$$

which is usually referred to as the (chromatic) fracture square.

Associated to a given prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(\pi_*R)$, there are two distinguished specialization closed subsets of prime ideals, namely

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Spec}(\pi_*R) \mid \mathfrak{q} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Spec}(\pi_*R) \mid \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}\}.$$

The localization functor $L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})} = (-)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ corresponds to algebraic $\mathfrak{p}$-localization, see [HPS97] and [BIK08, Thm. 4.7], i.e., it is characterized by the property that $(\pi_*M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \pi_*(L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}M)$. There is also a formula for the localization functors associated to $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})$, see [BHV16, Lem. 3.17].

Lemma 2.2. *For any prime ideal* $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(\pi_*R)$ *and all* $M \in \mathrm{Mod}_R$, *there is a natural equivalence* $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}M \simeq R/\!\!/\mathfrak{p}^\infty \otimes M$, *where* $R/\!\!/\mathfrak{p}^\infty = \mathrm{colim}_m R/\!\!/\mathfrak{p}^m$ *is the* ∞*-Koszul object of* $\mathfrak{p}$.

The effect of $L_{\mathcal{V}}$ on homotopy groups for arbitrary specialization closed subsets $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathrm{Spec}(\pi_*R)$ is more complicated, but can be understood via a local cohomology spectral sequence as in [BHV16]. Following [HPS97] and [BIK08], we can then built functors that isolate the part of Mod_R supported at $\mathfrak{p}$.

Definition 2.3. For a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(\pi_*R)$, define smashing endofunctors on Mod_R by

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} = \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} = \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}\Delta_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}.$$

We denote the essential image $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\,\mathrm{Mod}_R = \mathrm{Mod}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\mathfrak{p}-\mathrm{tors}}$.

As an easy consequence of Theorem 2.1(4), $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is left adjoint to $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(\pi_*R)$; for more details about these functors, we refer to [BIK08] and [BHV16]. From now on, we will implicitly assume that the letters $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{r}$ and so on refer to prime ideals in the homotopy groups of the ring spectrum under consideration.

Recall that the local-to-global principle for Mod_R can be formulated as an identity of localizing subcategories

$$\mathrm{Loc}(R) = \mathrm{Loc}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}R \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(\pi_*R)),$$

see [BIK11a, Sec. 3]. In particular, it implies that the natural functor

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \colon \mathrm{Mod}_R \longrightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathrm{Mod}_R \tag{2.4}$$

is conservative, where the product is indexed by all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$. It holds in particular for any $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring spectrum with π_*R (graded) Noetherian.

2.2. **Chromatic decomposition.** The goal of this subsection is to prove that the local cohomology functors $L_{\mathcal{V}}$ for arbitrary specialization closed subsets $\mathcal{V}$ are glued together from the algebraic localizations $L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}$ in an explicit way.

For any subset $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \operatorname{Spec}(\pi_* R)$, let $\mathcal{V}^{\complement} = \operatorname{Spec}(\pi_* R) \setminus \mathcal{V}$ be the complement. Note that $\mathcal{V}$ is specialization closed if and only if $\mathcal{V}^{\complement}$ is generalization closed, i.e., if $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{V}^{\complement}$ and $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ then $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{V}^{\complement}$.

Lemma 2.5. *For any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ and for any specialization closed subset $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \operatorname{Spec}(\pi_* R)$, the counit map of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$ induces natural equivalences*

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}} \simeq \begin{cases} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} & \text{if } \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{V} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. This is proven in [BIK11a, Lem. 2.4]. □

The next result also appears in [BIK08, Prop. 6.1], but we give an alternative argument.

Lemma 2.6. *If $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} \subseteq \operatorname{Spec}(\pi_* R)$ are specialization closed subsets, then the following hold:*

(1) $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{W}} \simeq \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W}} \simeq \Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}$.
(2) $L_{\mathcal{V}}L_{\mathcal{W}} \simeq L_{\mathcal{V}\cup\mathcal{W}} \simeq L_{\mathcal{W}}L_{\mathcal{V}}$.

Proof. The second statement follows formally from the first, so we will only prove (1). Since the claim is symmetric in $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$, it suffices to verify that $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{W}} \simeq \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W}}$. As $\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$, there exists a natural map $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W}} \to \Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}$, which induces a natural transformation $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W}} \simeq \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W}} \to \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}$ by [BIK08, Lem. 3.4]. By Lemma 2.5, we have equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{W}} &\simeq \begin{cases} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{W}} & \text{if } \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{V} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &\simeq \begin{cases} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} & \text{if } \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} \pi_* R$. The same calculation works for $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W}}$, so the result follows from the local-to-global principle (2.4). □

Theorem 2.7. *For any specialization closed subset $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \operatorname{Spec}(\pi_* R)$, there is a natural equivalence*

$$L_{\mathcal{V}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{q \in \mathcal{V}^{\complement}} L_{\mathcal{Z}(q)}$$

of functors on Mod_R.

Proof. For $q \in \mathcal{V}^{\complement}$, the unit maps provide natural transformations

$$\eta_{\mathcal{V},\mathfrak{q}} \colon L_{\mathcal{V}} \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{V}}L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})} \xleftarrow{\sim} L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})},$$

where the right map is an equivalence by Lemma 2.6, as $\mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})$ if $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{V}^{\complement}$. To see the last identity, let $\mathfrak{r} \in \mathcal{V}$ and suppose $\mathfrak{r} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$. Because $\mathcal{V}$ is specialization closed, this implies $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{V}$, contradicting the assumption. Hence $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})$ for all $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{V}^{\complement}$.

The maps $(\eta_{\mathcal{V},\mathfrak{q}})_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{V}^{\complement}}$ are compatible with the poset structure on $\mathcal{V}^{\complement} \subseteq \operatorname{Spec}(\pi_* R)$ and hence induce a natural transformation

$$\eta_{\mathcal{V}} \colon L_{\mathcal{V}} \longrightarrow \lim_{q \in \mathcal{V}^{\complement}} L_{\mathcal{Z}(q)}.$$

In order to show that $\eta_{\mathcal{V}}$ is an equivalence, it suffices to see that it does so after applying $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{r}}$ for all $\mathfrak{r} \in \operatorname{Spec}(\pi_* R)$, using the local-to-global principle (2.4).

We have that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{r}} L_{\mathcal{V}} \simeq \Gamma_{\mathfrak{r}}$ if $\mathfrak{r} \in \mathcal{V}^{\complement}$ and is the zero functor otherwise. Since $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{r}}$ is an exact functor, we compute similarly for the target of $\eta_{\mathcal{V}}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{r}} \lim_{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathcal{V}^{\complement}} L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})} &\simeq \lim_{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathcal{V}^{\complement}} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{r}} L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})} \\ &\simeq \lim_{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{r})\cap\mathcal{V}^{\complement}} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{r}} \\ &\simeq \begin{cases} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{r}} & \text{if } \mathfrak{r}\in\mathcal{V}^{\complement} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

The second equivalence follows from the observation that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{r}} L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})} \simeq \Gamma_{\mathfrak{r}}$ if and only $\mathfrak{r} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})^{\complement}$, which in turn is equivalent to $\mathfrak{r} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$, i.e., $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{r})$; if this is not the case, then $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{r}} L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})} \simeq 0$. The last equivalence is true because $\mathcal{V}^{\complement}$ is generalization closed and thus the corresponding diagram indexed by $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{r}) \cap \mathcal{V}^{\complement} = \{\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{r} \subseteq \mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{V}^{\complement}\}$ is connected and constant with value $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{r}}$. It is then easy to verify that $\eta_{\mathcal{V}}$ induces an equivalence. □

Corollary 2.8. *For any prime $\mathfrak{p}$, there is a natural equivalence*

$$L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})} \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{\mathfrak{q}\subsetneq\mathfrak{p}} L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.6, we get $L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})} \simeq L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})\cup\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}$. Note that $(\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p}) \cup \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p}))^{\complement} = \{\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{q} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}\}$, so the claim follows from Theorem 2.7. □

2.3. **The splitting hypothesis.** In this subsection, we formulate an analogue of Hopkins' chromatic splitting conjecture in chromatic homotopy theory, as described in [Hov95], for arbitrary structured ring spectra R. We will start by constructing an appropriate version of the chromatic fracture square. To this end, first observe that $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})} = \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} \Delta_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})} L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})} \simeq \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}$, so

$$\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} M \simeq \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} M \tag{2.9}$$

for all $M \in \mathrm{Mod}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}$.

Proposition 2.10. *For any M in $\mathrm{Mod}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ there is a homotopy pullback square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} M & \xrightarrow[\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(M)]{} & L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} M, \end{array}$$

whose horizontal fibers are equivalent to $\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}} M$.

Proof. This follows from the chromatic pullback square of Theorem 2.1(5) for the local duality context $(\mathrm{Mod}_R, \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p}))$ combined with (2.9). □

Definition 2.11. A compact R-module M is said to be of type $\mathfrak{p}$ if $M \in \mathrm{Thick}(R/\!\!/\mathfrak{p})$. Equivalently, a compact module M is of type $\mathfrak{p}$ if and only if its support is contained in $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})$.

The next lemma is an analogue of Ravenel's result [Rav84, Thm. 2.11] saying that, for a finite spectrum $X \in \mathrm{Sp}$, $K(n)_*(X) = 0$ implies $K(m)_*(X) = 0$ for all $0 \leq m \leq n$. However, since the topology of $\mathrm{Spec}(\pi_* R)$ is more complicated, this lemma does not provide an alternative characterization of type.

Lemma 2.12. *A compact module $M \in \mathrm{Mod}_R^{\omega}$ satisfies $L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})} M = 0$ if and only if $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}} M \simeq 0$. In particular, this holds if M is of type $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{q} \notin \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})$.*

Proof. By [HPS97, Thm. 6.1.8] there is an equality of Bousfield classes $\langle \Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}} R\rangle = \langle R_{\mathfrak{q}}/\!\!/\mathfrak{q}\rangle$. Combining this with [HPS97, Prop. 6.1.7(b)] we then have, for all $M \in \mathrm{Mod}_R^{\omega}$,

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}} M \simeq 0 \iff R_{\mathfrak{q}}/\!\!/\mathfrak{q} \otimes M \simeq 0 \iff L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})} M \simeq 0.$$

Finally, if M is of type $\mathfrak{p}$, then $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}} M \simeq 0$ for all $\mathfrak{q} \notin \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})$. □

Definition 2.13. A pair $(\mathfrak{p}' \subset \mathfrak{p})$ of primes in $\mathrm{Spec}(\pi_* R)$ is called adjacent if $\mathfrak{p}' \neq \mathfrak{p}$ and there exists no prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ such that $\mathfrak{p}' \subsetneq \mathfrak{q} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}$.

With this notation at hand, we may now state the following

Chromatic splitting hypothesis 2.14. *If $(\mathfrak{p}' \subset \mathfrak{p})$ is an adjacent pair of primes in $\mathrm{Spec}(\pi_* R)$, then $\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(M)$ is split for any finite $M \in \mathrm{Mod}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ of type $\mathfrak{p}'$.*

Remark 2.15. The chromatic splitting hypothesis given here is inspired by and analogous to Hopkins' chromatic splitting hypothesis in chromatic homotopy theory, see [Hov95]. In its simplest form, it asks whether the bottom horizontal map in the chromatic fracture square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_n X & \longrightarrow & L_{K(n)} X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_{n-1} X & \longrightarrow & L_{n-1} L_{K(n)} X \end{array}$$

is split, where X is a finite spectrum of type $n-1$, and L_n and $L_{K(n)}$ denote Bousfield localization at Morava E-theory E_n and Morava K-theory $K(n)$, respectively. Due to computational verification, it is known to be true for $n = 0, 1, 2$, but completely open otherwise. Moreover, there are several refinements of this conjecture, the strongest form of which has been recently disproven by Beaudry [Bea15].

However, since the corresponding localization functors are defined differently, the chromatic splitting hypothesis for $R = S^0$ or $R = L_n S^0$ is not equivalent to the chromatic splitting conjecture, but is instead adapted to more algebraic situations.

Remark 2.16. There is an alternative construction of the local homology functor which is closer to the situation in chromatic homotopy theory, namely $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})}$. As we have seen in (2.9), $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ agree on $\mathfrak{p}$-local objects, but they differ in general. The analogue of the Chromatic splitting hypothesis 2.14 for $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ holds for Mod_R, i.e., without imposing any locality conditions. In contrast, $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is right adjoint to $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$, while this is only true $\mathfrak{p}$-locally for $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

3. Chromatic splitting

Throughout this subsection, assume that R is a Noetherian $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring spectrum and recall that all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(\pi_* R)$ are finitely generated. In fact, it suffices to assume that R has less structure, but we will not need this extra generality here.

3.1. Phantom maps and Brown–Comenetz duality.

In this subsection, we generalize Brown–Comenetz duality to Mod_R and its local analogues, and prove a version of Margolis' nonexistence result for phantom maps with target $\mathbb{I}X$. Furthermore, we describe how Brown–Comenetz duality is related to local duality and (local) Spanier–Whitehead duality.

Definition 3.1. A map $f\colon X \to Y$ in Mod_R is called phantom if for all $C \in \mathrm{Mod}_R^{\omega}$ and maps $g\colon C \to X$ the composite $g \circ f$ is null.

For any (discrete) commutative ring A, denote the full subcategory of injective A-modules by $\mathrm{Inj}_A \subseteq \mathrm{Mod}_A$. For any $X \in \mathrm{Mod}_R$ and any injective module $I \in \mathrm{Inj}_{\pi_* R}$, the exact functor

$$\mathrm{Mod}_R^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\pi_*(X\otimes -)} \mathrm{Mod}_{\pi_* R}^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hom}(-,I)} \mathrm{Mod}_{\pi_* R}$$

is representable by an object $\mathbb{I}X$. Note that $\mathbb{I}R \simeq \mathbb{I}$ is the lift of I as defined in [BHV16, Sec. 4.1]. The next lemma is the analogue of a result due to Margolis [Mar83, Prop. 5.1.2] for the stable homotopy category; his proof generalizes easily.

Lemma 3.2. *For any $X \in \mathrm{Mod}_R$ and any lift $\mathbb{I}$ of some injective π_*R-module I, there are no nontrivial phantom maps with target $\mathbb{I}X$.*

Proof. The argument is a straightforward adaptation of Margolis' proof, which we give here for the convenience of the reader. Suppose $f\colon Y \to \mathbb{I}X$ is a phantom map, and write Y as a filtered colimit $\mathrm{colim}_J Y_\alpha$ of compact objects Y_α. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_* \mathrm{Hom}_R(Y, \mathbb{I}X) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Hom}^{-*}_{\pi_* R}(\pi_*(X \otimes Y), I) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ \lim_J \pi_* \mathrm{Hom}_R(Y_\alpha, \mathbb{I}X) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{} & \lim_J \mathrm{Hom}^{-*}_{\pi_* R}(\pi_*(X \otimes Y_\alpha), I). \end{array}$$

The horizontal maps are isomorphisms by the universal property of $\mathbb{I}X$, while the right vertical map is so because π_* commutes with filtered colimits. Therefore, the left vertical map is an isomorphism as well, and the claim follows. □

Let $I_\mathfrak{p}$ be the injective hull of the residue field $\pi_*(R_\mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{p}$ considered as an $R_\mathfrak{p}$-module. We write $\mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Mod}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}$ for the corresponding Brown–Comenetz dual of $R_\mathfrak{p}$ and $\phi_M\colon M \to \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{p}^2 M$ for the canonical map. The next result gives a sufficient condition for when this map is an equivalence.

Proposition 3.3. *The map ϕ_M is an equivalence if $\pi_*(M)$ is finitely generated as a module over $\pi_* R_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}$. In particular, this is the case if $M \in \mathrm{Thick}(R_\mathfrak{p}/\!\!/\mathfrak{p})$. More generally, ϕ_M factors through an equivalence $M \simeq \Gamma_\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{p}^2 M$ for all $M \in \mathrm{Mod}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{p}-\mathrm{tors}}$ such that $\pi_*(M \otimes R_\mathfrak{p}/\!\!/\mathfrak{p})$ is finitely generated over $\pi_* R_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}$.*

Proof. Suppose $M \in \mathrm{Mod}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}$ satisfies the condition of the proposition. Matlis duality then provides isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_* \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{p}^2 M &\cong \mathrm{Hom}^{-*}(\pi_*(\mathbb{I}M), I_p) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}^{-*}(\mathrm{Hom}^{-*}(\pi_*(M), I_p), I_p) \\ &\cong \pi_* M. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that the composite isomorphism is the one induced by ϕ_M. Furthermore, a standard inductive argument on the number of generators of $\mathfrak{p}$ implies that $\pi_* R_\mathfrak{p}/\!\!/\mathfrak{p}$ is finitely generated over $\pi_*(R_\mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{p}$, hence ϕ_M is an equivalence for all $M \in \mathrm{Thick}(R_\mathfrak{p}/\!\!/\mathfrak{p})$.

Now assume $M \in \mathrm{Mod}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{p}-\mathrm{tors}}$ with the property that $\pi_*(M \otimes R_\mathfrak{p}/\!\!/\mathfrak{p})$ is finitely generated over $\pi_* R_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}$. Since $M \simeq \Gamma_\mathfrak{p} M$, ϕ_M factors through a map

$$\phi'_M\colon M \longrightarrow \Gamma_\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{p}^2 M,$$

so it suffices to prove that $\phi'_M \otimes R_\mathfrak{p}/\!\!/\mathfrak{p} \simeq \phi_M \otimes R_\mathfrak{p}/\!\!/\mathfrak{p}$ is an equivalence. Since $R_\mathfrak{p}/\!\!/\mathfrak{p}$ is compact and thus dualizable in $\mathrm{Mod}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}$, the same argument as for [HS99, Thm. 10.2(d)] shows that $\phi_M \otimes R_\mathfrak{p}/\!\!/\mathfrak{p} \simeq \phi_{M \otimes R_\mathfrak{p}/\!\!/\mathfrak{p}}$. Therefore, we may assume that $\pi_* M$ is finitely generated over $\pi_* R_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}$, reducing the claim to the previous case. □

Corollary 3.4. *For any prime $\mathfrak{p}$, there are no nontrivial phantom maps with target $R_\mathfrak{p}/\!\!/\mathfrak{p}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.3, there is an equivalence $R_\mathfrak{p}/\!\!/\mathfrak{p} \simeq \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{p}^2 R_\mathfrak{p}/\!\!/\mathfrak{p}$, so the claim follows from Lemma 3.2. □

We finally explain how Brown–Comenetz duality interacts with local duality, at least in the case that $R_\mathfrak{p}$ is absolute Gorenstein of shift ν. Recall that this means that there is an (abstract) equivalence $\Gamma_\mathfrak{p} R \simeq \Sigma^\nu \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{p}$. For more details on Gorenstein ring spectra, see [Gre16] or [BHV16].

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose $R_\mathfrak{p}$ is absolute Gorenstein of shift ν. For any $M \in \mathrm{Mod}^\omega_{R_\mathfrak{p}}$, there is an equivalence $\Lambda_\mathfrak{p} M \simeq \Sigma^\nu \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{p}(\Gamma_\mathfrak{p} M^\vee)$. In particular, all phantom maps with target $\Lambda_\mathfrak{p} M$ must be trivial.*

Proof. The Gorenstein condition combined with Theorem 2.1 gives isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_* \operatorname{Hom}(X, \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{p}\Gamma_\mathfrak{p} M^\vee) &\cong \operatorname{Hom}^{-*}(\pi_*(X \otimes \Gamma_\mathfrak{p} M^\vee), I_\mathfrak{p}) \\
&\cong \pi_* \operatorname{Hom}(X \otimes \Gamma_\mathfrak{p} M^\vee, \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{p}) \\
&\cong \pi_* \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma_\mathfrak{p} X \otimes M^\vee, \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{p}) \\
&\cong \pi_* \operatorname{Hom}(X, \Lambda_\mathfrak{p}(M \otimes \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{p})) \\
&\cong \pi_* \operatorname{Hom}(X, \Lambda_\mathfrak{p}(M \otimes \Sigma^{-\nu}\Gamma_\mathfrak{p} R)) \\
&\cong \pi_* \operatorname{Hom}(X, \Lambda_\mathfrak{p} \Sigma^{-\nu} M)
\end{aligned}$$

for any $X \in \mathrm{Mod}_R$. Consequently, we get an equivalence $\Lambda_\mathfrak{p} M \simeq \Sigma^\nu \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{p}(\Gamma_\mathfrak{p} M^\vee)$. The second part of the claim then follows from Lemma 3.2. □

Remark 3.6. We could also make the stronger global assumption that R rather than $R_\mathfrak{p}$ is Gorenstein. In this case, there is an additional shift by d appearing in the formula of Proposition 3.5, the dimension of the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ in R.

3.2. **Proof of the main theorem.** Fix a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(\pi_* R)$. We start with two auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 3.7. *For any prime $\mathfrak{p}$ and $M \in \mathrm{Mod}^\omega_{R_\mathfrak{p}}$, there is a natural equivalence $\pi_* \Lambda_\mathfrak{p} M \cong (\pi_* M)^\wedge_\mathfrak{p}$, where $(-)^\wedge_\mathfrak{p}$ denotes (underived) $\mathfrak{p}$-completion.*

Proof. By [BHV16, Prop. 3.20], there exists a strongly convergent local homology spectral sequence of signature

$$H^\mathfrak{p}_*(\pi_* M) \implies \pi_* \Lambda_\mathfrak{p} M.$$

Recall that, on the E_2-page, the algebraic local homology $H^\mathfrak{p}_*$ computes the derived $\mathfrak{p}$-completion, see [GM92, Thm. 2.5] or [BHV15, Prop. 3.14]. Since M is assumed to be compact, $\pi_* M$ is a finitely generated $\pi_* R_\mathfrak{p}$-module, so this spectral sequence collapses to the desired isomorphism by the Artin–Rees lemma. □

Lemma 3.8. *The natural map $\pi_* \Delta_\mathfrak{p} M \to \pi_* L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} M$ is zero for any compact $M \in \mathrm{Mod}^\omega_{R_\mathfrak{p}}$.*

Proof. By Krull's intersection theorem [AM69, Cor. 10.19] and Lemma 3.7, the completion map $\pi_* M \to \pi_* \Lambda_\mathfrak{p} M$ is injective. Therefore, it follows from the long exact sequence associated to the fiber sequence $\Delta_\mathfrak{p} M \to M \to \Lambda_\mathfrak{p} M$ that the natural map $\pi_* \Delta_\mathfrak{p} M \to \pi_* M$ is zero. The pullback square of Proposition 2.10 then yields the claim. □

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.9. *The chromatic splitting hypothesis holds for any Noetherian $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring spectrum R, i.e., for any adjacent pair of primes $(\mathfrak{p}' \subset \mathfrak{p})$, the natural map*

$$\iota_M \colon L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} M \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} M$$

is split for all $M \in \mathrm{Mod}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ of type $\mathfrak{p}'$.

Proof. By a thick subcategory argument it suffices to consider $M = R_{\mathfrak{p}} /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}'$. We first claim that, for any adjacent pair $(\mathfrak{p}' \subset \mathfrak{p})$, there is an equivalence $L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} R_{\mathfrak{p}} /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}' \simeq \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}'} R_{\mathfrak{p}'} /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}'$. To this end, applying Corollary 2.8 to $R_{\mathfrak{p}} /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}'$ and using Lemma 2.6 yields equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} R_{\mathfrak{p}} /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}' &\simeq \lim_{\mathfrak{q} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}} L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})} R_{\mathfrak{p}} /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}' \\ &\simeq \lim_{\mathfrak{q} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}} L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})} R /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}'. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\pi_*(R /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}')$ is $\mathfrak{p}'$-torsion. Therefore, $\pi_*(L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})} R /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}') \cong (\pi_*(R /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}'))_{\mathfrak{q}} = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p}')^{\mathsf{c}}$, see for example Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 of [BIK08]. Since $(\mathfrak{p}' \subset \mathfrak{p})$ is an adjacent pair, and $\mathfrak{q} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}$, we have $L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})} R /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}' \simeq 0$ unless $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}'$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\mathfrak{q} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}} L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})} R /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}' &\simeq L_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p}')} R /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}' \\ &\simeq R_{\mathfrak{p}'} /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}', \end{aligned}$$

so that $L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} R_{\mathfrak{p}} /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}' \simeq R_{\mathfrak{p}'} /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}'$.

We now claim that the map $\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}} R_{\mathfrak{p}} /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}' \to L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} R_{\mathfrak{p}} /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}'$ is phantom. Indeed, let $C \to \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}} R_{\mathfrak{p}} /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}'$ be a map from a compact module C. The composite $C \to \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}} R_{\mathfrak{p}} /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}' \to L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} R_{\mathfrak{p}} /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}'$ is adjoint to a homotopy class $R \to \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}} C_{\mathfrak{p}}^\vee /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}' \to L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} C_{\mathfrak{p}}^\vee /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}'$, which must be trivial by Lemma 3.8. Therefore, Corollary 3.4 with $M = R_{\mathfrak{p}'} /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}'$ implies that $\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}} R_{\mathfrak{p}} /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}' \to L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} R_{\mathfrak{p}} /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}' \simeq R_{\mathfrak{p}'} /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}'$ is zero, so $\iota_{R_{\mathfrak{p}} /\!\!/ \mathfrak{p}'}$ is split. □

Remark 3.10. This argument has been inspired by an approach to the original chromatic splitting conjecture appearing in unpublished work by Sadofsky as well as forthcoming work of the first-named author.

Corollary 3.11. *For any pair $(\mathfrak{p}' \subset \mathfrak{p})$ of adjacent primes and $M \in \mathrm{Mod}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\omega}$, the natural map*

$$\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}'} M \longrightarrow \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}'} \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} M$$

is split.

Proof. On the one hand, for M as in the corollary and any $n \geq 0$, there is a natural map

$$R_{\mathfrak{p}'} /\!\!/ (\mathfrak{p}')^n \otimes M \simeq L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}(M \otimes R_{\mathfrak{p}} /\!\!/ (\mathfrak{p}')^n) \xrightarrow{\iota} L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})} \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(M \otimes R_{\mathfrak{p}} /\!\!/ (\mathfrak{p}')^n) \simeq R_{\mathfrak{p}'} /\!\!/ (\mathfrak{p}')^n \otimes \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} M \ ,$$

where we have used that $L_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})}$ is smashing as well as Corollary 2.8. On the other hand, local duality (Theorem 2.1) and Lemma 2.2 gives equivalences

$$\psi_N \colon \Lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} N \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(R_{\mathfrak{q}} /\!\!/ \mathfrak{q}^\infty, N) \simeq \lim_n \Sigma^{\lambda_n(\mathfrak{q})} R_{\mathfrak{q}} /\!\!/ \mathfrak{q}^n \otimes N$$

for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ and any $\mathfrak{q}$-local N, with $\lambda_n(\mathfrak{q})$ an integer depending on n and $\mathfrak{q}$. Applying this to the modules $N = R_{\mathfrak{p}'} /\!\!/ (\mathfrak{p}')^n$ for $n \geq 0$ and $R_{\mathfrak{p}'} /\!\!/ (\mathfrak{p}')^n \otimes \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} M$ and passing to the limit thus yields the top horizontal map in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}'} M & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}'} \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} M \\ {\scriptstyle \psi_M}\downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} & & {\scriptstyle \sim}\downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_{\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} M}} \\ \lim_n \Sigma^{\lambda_n(\mathfrak{p}')} R_{\mathfrak{p}'} /\!\!/ (\mathfrak{p}')^n \otimes M & \xrightarrow[\iota]{} & \lim_n \Sigma^{\lambda_n(\mathfrak{p}')} R_{\mathfrak{p}'} /\!\!/ (\mathfrak{p}')^n \otimes \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} M. \end{array}$$

This map κ is split because it is a limit of split maps by Theorem 3.9. □

Observe that a variant of both Theorem 3.9 and Corollary 3.11 without the $\mathfrak{p}$-locality assumption on M holds for $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ in place of Λ, see Remark 2.16. We decided to give the version stated above since it is consistent with the work of Benson, Iyengar, and Krause.

3.3. **Applications and examples.** The chromatic splitting hypothesis is particularly relevant in categories Mod_R which are stratified by π_*R in the sense of Benson, Iyengar, and Krause. We recall from [BIK11a] that this means that the following two conditions hold:

(1) The category Mod_R satisfies the local to global principle.
(2) The localizing subcategories $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\,\mathrm{Mod}_R = \mathrm{Mod}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\mathfrak{p}-\mathrm{tors}}$ are minimal for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(\pi_*R)$.

In this case, the localizing subcategories of Mod_R are in bijection with subsets of $\mathrm{Spec}(\pi_*R)$ and are detected by the functors $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Informally speaking, the splitting of Theorem 3.9 thus describes how Mod_R can be assembled from its indecomposable pieces $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\,\mathrm{Mod}_R$. In this subsection, we review three known examples of stratified categories Mod_R with R Noetherian.

Example 3.12. The easiest case is when R is a discrete Noetherian commutative ring. It is known by work of Neeman [Nee92] that the localizing subcategories of the derived category Mod_R of R-modules are classified by subsets of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$. From this, it immediately follows that Mod_R is stratified by R, so the chromatic splitting hypothesis holds. This complements Neeman's work by resolving the last remaining chromatic conjecture for Mod_R.

The next example contains the previous one as a special case.

Example 3.13. Suppose R is an evenly concentrated Noetherian $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring spectrum with π_*R regular. Dell'Ambrogio and Stanley show in [DS15] that the localizing subcategories of Mod_R are in bijection with subsets of $\mathrm{Spec}(\pi_*R)$, from which the stratification result can be deduced.

As a concrete example, we can take $R = E_n$ to be Morava E-theory, a ring spectrum with coefficients $\mathbb{W}\mathbb{F}_{p^n}[\![u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]\!][u^{\pm 1}]$, where $\mathbb{W}\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ denotes the ring of Witt vector on $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, the u_i are in degree 0, and u is in degree -2. By a theorem of Goerss and Hopkins [GH04], E_n admits the structure of an $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring spectrum and thus satisfies the above conditions.

We conclude with an example that was the original motivation for studying the chromatic splitting hypothesis for ring spectra.

Example 3.14. Let $C^*(BG, k) \simeq k^{hG}$ be the $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring of cochains on the classifying space of a compact Lie group G with coefficients in a field k. Benson and Greenlees [BG14], extending earlier work of Benson, Iyengar, and Krause [BIK11b], prove that $\mathrm{Mod}_{C^*(BG,k)}$ is stratified by the canonical action of $\pi_{-*}C^*(BG, k) \cong H^*(G, k)$. Since $H^*(G, k)$ is Noetherian by a theorem due to Evens [Eve61] and Venkov [Ven59], Corollary 3.11 applies to give a natural splitting of the map

$$\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}'}k_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}'}\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}k_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

for any adjacent pair of primes $\mathfrak{p}', \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(H^*(G, k))$. Note that, for G a finite group, the local homology $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}k$ is in some sense dual to the Rickard idempotents, see [BIK08, Sec. 10].

Using the techniques of [BHV16] and [BK08], the chromatic splitting can be transported to the stable module category StMod_{kG} for any finite group G. Therefore, we obtain a qualitative description of how the stable module module category is locally built up from its indecomposable layers, at least for compact objects.

References

[AM69] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald. *Introduction to commutative algebra*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1969. (cit. on p. 9).

[Bea15] Agnes Beaudry. The Chromatic Splitting Conjecture at $n = p = 2$. http://arxiv.org/abs/1502.02190, 2015. (cit. on p. 7).

[BG14] David Benson and John Greenlees. Stratifying the derived category of cochains on BG for G a compact Lie group. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 218(4):642–650, 2014. (cit. on p. 11).

[BHV15] T. Barthel, D. Heard, and G. Valenzuela. Local duality in algebra and topology. *arXiv preprint http://arxiv.org/abs/1511.03526*, November 2015. (cit on pp. 1, 3, 9).

[BHV16] T. Barthel, D. Heard, and G. Valenzuela. Local duality for structured ring spectra. 2016. arXiv preprint http://arxiv.org/abs/1608.03135. (cit on pp. 1, 3, 4, 8, 9, 11).

[BIK08] Dave Benson, Srikanth B. Iyengar, and Henning Krause. Local cohomology and support for triangulated categories. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 41(4):573–619, 2008. (cit on pp. 1, 3, 4, 5, 10, 11).

[BIK11a] Dave Benson, Srikanth B. Iyengar, and Henning Krause. Stratifying triangulated categories. *J. Topol.*, 4(3):641–666, 2011. (cit on pp. 1, 2, 4, 5, 11).

[BIK11b] David J. Benson, Srikanth B. Iyengar, and Henning Krause. Stratifying modular representations of finite groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 174(3):1643–1684, 2011. (cit on pp. 2, 11).

[BK08] David John Benson and Henning Krause. Complexes of injective kG-modules. *Algebra Number Theory*, 2(1):1–30, 2008. (cit. on p. 11).

[DHS88] Ethan S. Devinatz, Michael J. Hopkins, and Jeffrey H. Smith. Nilpotence and stable homotopy theory. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 128(2):207–241, 1988. (cit. on p. 1).

[DS15] I. Dell'Ambrogio and D. Stanley. Affine weakly regular tensor triangulated categories. 2015. (cit. on p. 11).

[Eve61] Leonard Evens. The cohomology ring of a finite group. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 101:224–239, 1961. (cit. on p. 11).

[GH04] P. G. Goerss and M. J. Hopkins. Moduli spaces of commutative ring spectra. In *Structured ring spectra*, volume 315 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 151–200. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004. (cit. on p. 11).

[GM92] J. P. C. Greenlees and J. P. May. Derived functors of I-adic completion and local homology. *J. Algebra*, 149(2):438–453, 1992. (cit. on p. 9).

[Gre16] J. P. C. Greenlees. Homotopy Invariant Commutative Algebra over fields. *arXiv preprint https://arxiv.org/abs/1601.02473*, January 2016. (cit. on p. 9).

[Hop87] Michael J. Hopkins. Global methods in homotopy theory. In *Homotopy theory (Durham, 1985)*, volume 117 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 73–96. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1987. (cit. on p. 1).

[Hov95] Mark Hovey. Bousfield localization functors and Hopkins' chromatic splitting conjecture. In *The Čech centennial (Boston, MA, 1993)*, volume 181 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 225–250. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995. (cit on pp. 6, 7).

[HPS97] Mark Hovey, John H. Palmieri, and Neil P. Strickland. Axiomatic stable homotopy theory. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 128(610):x+114, 1997. (cit on pp. 1, 4, 7).

[HS98] Michael J. Hopkins and Jeffrey H. Smith. Nilpotence and stable homotopy theory. II. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 148(1):1–49, 1998. (cit. on p. 1).

[HS99] Mark A Hovey and Neil P Strickland. Morava K-theories and localisation. *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.*, 139(666):viii+100–100, 1999. (cit. on p. 8).

[Lur09] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*, volume 170 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009. (cit. on p. 3).

[Lur16] Jacob Lurie. *Spectral algebraic geometry*. 2016. Draft available from author's website as www.math.harvard.edu/lurie/papers/higheralgebra.pdf. (cit. on p. 3).

[Mar83] H. R. Margolis. *Spectra and the Steenrod algebra*, volume 29 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1983. Modules over the Steenrod algebra and the stable homotopy category. (cit. on p. 8).

[Nee92] Amnon Neeman. The chromatic tower for $D(R)$. *Topology*, 31(3):519–532, 1992. With an appendix by Marcel Bökstedt. (cit on pp. 1, 11).

[Rav84] Douglas C. Ravenel. Localization with respect to certain periodic homology theories. *Amer. J. Math.*, 106(2):351–414, 1984. (cit. on p. 6).

[Ven59] B. B. Venkov. Cohomology algebras for some classifying spaces. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 127:943–944, 1959. (cit. on p. 11).